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**Title in original language: subtitle, if any[[1]](#footnote-1)**

*Título em Inglês: subtítulo*

**Title**

- Limited to 22 words, including the subtitle, if any.

- We suggest avoiding acronyms that are not widely recognized, special characters, terms or expressions that require explanations for the reader through footnotes.

- Do use capital letters in the title and subtitle, including in system metadata during submission.

**Ensuring blind review**

**Information about the authors must be only included on the system metadata during submission.**

The CMM peer review process is double-blind. This means that both authors and evaluators are not identified, ensuring an impersonal process.

Thus, it is essential to remove the explicit or implicit identification of the author(s) in the properties of the file and in any parts of the text where this may occur. If information is essential and it can lead to the identification of authors, it should be replaced by the text “the author(s).”

**Abstract:** Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Mauris cursus volutpat tellus laoreet imperdiet. Suspendisse potenti. Donec neque magna, elementum id justo eget, fringilla rhoncus ante. Fusce massa purus, volutpat eu leo non, venenatis cursus sem. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Aenean luctus ut nunc non vulputate. Mauris a lorem ante. Vivamus semper varius arcu at scelerisque. Donec vitae molestie mi. Nam dapibus feugiat vulputate. Suspendisse dapibus id dolor quis pellentesque. Donec ligula turpis, suscipit a sem sed, aliquam pharetra lectus. Duis luctus massa vitae nunc dapibus vulputate. Nunc lacus diam, ullamcorper eget leo quis, gravida elementum metus. Suspendisse luctus est et pulvinar mollis. Aliquam dictum augue vel ligula dapibus ullamcorper. Nullam molestie dignissim lacus ut placerat. Integer at faucibus eros. Sed blandit accumsan metus in viverra. Phasellus ex velit, molestie eget purus at, bibendum vestibulum metus. Pellentesque hendrerit, felis nec ornare.

**Keywords:** Military Science. Defense. Meira Mattos. Academic Journal. Open Access.

**Abstract:** With a maximum of 150 words in the language of the text and in English (when submitted in Portuguese or Spanish). It must describe the objectives, methodology, results and main implications. The abstract is a fundamental part of the article communication and must mainly contain the results achieved.

**Keywords:** Insert five keywords. Consider including at least one keyword that describes the methodology and one that describes the phenomenon under investigation. Do not use unknown acronyms as keywords. Keywords can be composed.

**1. Introduction[[2]](#footnote-2)**

**1.1 Important**

- The manuscript will be basically evaluated in three aspects: originality, technical quality and presentation;

Limit of words: the article must have between **5000 and 8000** words, including pre-textual elements and references. However, larger or smaller articles may be published as long as they are justified by the content of the contribution.

**-** The wording must be grammatically correct, concise and understandable.

**1.2 Tips**

- The manuscript must have relevant and current content (even in historical studies);

- Define the analyzed problem or issue and its context;

- Communicate the objectives of the manuscript;

- If applicable, declare to which field or subfield the manuscript is directed.

**2. Theoretical review, development and methodology.**

The CMM does not require specific names of topics that address the theoretical review, development and methodology, and it is the responsibility of the author(s) to choose the titles that best suit the proposal of the manuscript. However, the topics must be indicative and collaborate with the readers’ precise identification of the subjects/stages.

**2.1 Tips**

- Be aware of and agree with the CMM Ethics Statement;

- The text must be well structured, logically argued and with due recognition of previous studies. The absence of citation is characterized as plagiarism;

- Describe precisely the methodology and, if applicable, the universe, the sample and the selection process;

- Report the limitations of the study;

- For studies that need approval from the Ethics Committee of the participants’ institution, the respective authorization must be attached as a supplementary document during the submission;

- The complete data can be attached as a supplementary document during the submission and will be made available to the evaluators, with due respect for maintaining the confidentiality of personal information, if applicable;

- The presentation of the graphic elements must be objective and legible;

- Every graphic element, including tables[[3]](#footnote-3) and charts,[[4]](#footnote-4) must have their sources indicated. Whether elaborated by the author(s), based on or adapted from another paper, or elaborated byother people.[[5]](#footnote-5)

**3. Results**

**3.1 Tips**

- The analysis and presentation of the results must be compatible with the approach of the previous topics.

- Syntheses made with visual elements (figures, tables, charts, among others) must have prior information that supports the data of the element presented;

- Citations, excerpts from interviews or other content can be used to complement the presentation of the results, but must not replace the analysis.

**4. Conclusion, Final Considerations or Discussion**

**4.1 Tips**

- Describe the main contributions and the impact for the advancement of the area studied;

- Link the work with other similar searches and previous results, if any;

- Point out, if applicable, other possible results for the analysis developed;

- Identify the limitations of the study and the results found;

- Consider the implications for further studies.

**Acknowledgments**

Notes of acknowledgments. If the text allows the author to be recognized, it must be included in “considerations to the editor” during the submission. Posteriorly it will be included in the article.

**References**

**Hint:** use a relevant and updated bibliography.

CMM adopts the Brazilian standards for references and citations, respectively ABNT 6023: 2018 and 10520: 2002.

For authors who are not familiar with the ABNT (Brazilian Association of Technical Standards) standard, we ask that you adapt your citations and references as much as possible according to the following examples. All references and citations will be reviewed by experts, ensuring their uniformity. However, standardized articles will not be accepted in other presentation standards.

This article template was inspired by the following documents:

AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION. **JARS–Qual**: table 1: information recommended for inclusion in manuscripts that report primary qualitative research. Washington, DC: APA, 2020. APA Style JARS. Journal article reporting standards. Available at: https://apastyle.apa.org/jars/qual-table-1.pdf. Accessed on: Fev. 19, 2021.

EDITAGE. **Reasons for manuscript rejection**: learn from the experts. Princeton,

NJ: Cactus Communication, [2016?]. Available at: https://bit.ly/2ZvBXOF. Accessed on: Fev. 19, 2021.

**Authorship and Collaborations**

The attribution of authorship and contributions must be included at the end of the manuscript, according to the options detailed in the guidelines to authors.

- When all authors are equally responsible for all stages and processes in the preparation of the manuscript, use the following wording:

**Authorship and Collaborations:** All authors participated in an equivalent manner in the elaboration of the article.

- When there were different responsibilities in the preparation of the manuscript (examples):

**Authorship and Collaborations:**

Author 1 – conceptualization and wording (original draft);

Author 2 – methodology and wording.

**Authorship and Collaborations:**

Author 1 – conceptualization and wording (original draft, revision and editing);

Author 2 – methodology and wording (revision and editing);

Author 3 – investigation and formal analysis.

1. Funding indication: it must be indicated in “considerations to the editor” during the submission, according to the example in the guidelines for the author. Do not include it in the manuscript.

   Item and sub-item titles are just examples. However, we suggest using the 1.2.3 format for its subdivision. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Initial remarks or contextualization, if necessary, must be included as a footnote in the introduction. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Essentially with numeric data. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Essentially with textual information. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. If photographs in which it is possible to identify people are used, the authorization to use the image must be attached in supplementary documents. The photograph authorship must be attributed to the photographer. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)