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**1 Important**

- Language: reviews can be submitted in Portuguese, English or Spanish.

- Title: it must be preceded by the word “Review” and be the same as that of the analyzed work, including subtitles. In the case of a translation, the original title of the work, if any, must be informed as well.

- Tables, graphs and images: must not be included.

- Word limit: the review must have between 1000 and 1500 words. However, larger reviews may be published as long as they are justified by the content of the contribution.

- It must be written only by one author.

- Reviews must be from a two-year-maximum-publication book.

- Books must relate to the CMM focus and scope.

**1.2 Tips**

- The CMM publishes academic reviews.

- The purpose of the review is to describe the arguments of the book and offer an evaluation to the reader within the reality of the scientific community to which it is directed, and what should be considered adequate or inadequate within the discipline to which the work is proposed, in a way to harmonize the objective of the description with the personal evaluation (MOTTA-ROTH, 2012)

- A synthesis that favors diffusion is essential; a selection of interests and, more importantly, the provocation of a debate by criticism, positive or negative (ULTRAMARI, JAZAR, 2015).

- The review must meet some items proposed by Hartley (2006, p. 1205):

• An opening paragraph saying what the book is about and putting it in context;

• Information about the target audience and how well the book meets its needs;

• A critique of the argument/content of the book;

• Any supporting academic references;

• Comments on the strengths and limitations of the book.
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